1	NANCY J. MARVEL Regional Counsel 2012 DEC 24 PM
2	Regional Counsel  EDGAR P. CORAL  2012 DEC 21 PM 5: 28
3	Assistant Regional Counsel U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 1X  US ENVIRONMENTAL HEARING CLERK
4	Region 1X 75 Hawthorne Street
5	San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 972-3898
6	(413) 772-3696
7	UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION A CENCY
8	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IX
9	
10	In the Matter of:  Docket No. FIFRA-09-2012-0006
11	<b>\( \)</b>
12	Fry's Electronics, Inc.,  MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE ANSWER
13	Respondent.
14	)
15	
16	TO THE REGIONAL JUDICIAL OFFICER:
17	TO THE REGIONAL JUDICIAL OFFICER:
18	
19	Pursuant to the authority set forth in the Consolidated Rules of Practice, 40 C.F.R. Part
20	22, Complainant U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX ("Complainant"), moves the
21	Regional Judicial Officer to grant a 30-day extension of time to respond to the complaint in the
22	above-entitled action (the "Complaint") to January 28, 2013. Complainant's reasons for seeking
23	an extension for time are set forth helow.
	<u>BACKGROUND</u>
24	On September 24, 2012, Complainant filed a civil administrative action against
25	Respondent Fry's Electronics, Inc. in the above-entitled action. The Complaint alleges violations
26	of Section 12(a)(1)(A) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136j(a)(1)(A), as well as Section 12(a)(2)(N) of
27	FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136j(a)(2)(N). Respondent was served with the Complaint on September 29,

2012, and Respondent's response to the Complaint was initially due by October 29, 2012.

Respondent subsequently filed an Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to Respond to the 1 2 Complaint and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing on October 19, 2012 that requested a 30-day 3 extension of time to file its Answer to November 28, 2012. On November 2, 2012, the Regional Judicial Officer filed an Order Granting Respondent's Motion for Extension of Time to File 4 5 Answer providing for an extension to and including November 28, 2012. Respondent subsequently filed an Unopposed Motion for Second and Final Extension of Time to Resond to 6 7 the Complaint and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing on November 19, 2012 that requested a 30-8 day extension of time for Respondent to file its Answer to December 28, 2012. On November 28, 2012, the Regional Judicial Officer filed an Order Granting Respondent's Unopposed Second 10 Motion for Extension of Time to File Answer providing for an extension to and including

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

12 ARGUMENT

December 28, 2012.

The Regional Judicial Officer may grant an extension of time to file an answer upon filing of a timely motion, a showing of good cause and after consideration of prejudice to other parties to the action. 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.7(b) and 22.16. This motion satisfies these criteria.

This motion is timely, having been filed prior to the due date for Respondent's answer to the Complaint.

This motion also complies with the "good cause" requirement of 40 C.F.R. § 22.7(b). It is Complainant's policy to encourage settlement and avoid litigation when consistent with the provisions and objectives of the law at issue. 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(b). Representatives of Complainant and Respondent, having directly met on December 18, 2012 to engage in settlement discussions, need additional time during this holiday period to continue to make progress toward a resolution of this matter. A 30-day extension of time to answer will facilitate the process by which the settlement discussions progress to a sought-after resolution. No other extensions are expected at this time.

Finally, granting of this motion will not result in prejudice. As noted above, the parties are actively engaged in productive settlement discussions. If anything, failure to grant this

27

28

1	motion will actually prejudice Respondent by requiring it to prepare an Answer before one is
2	actually necessary. Respondent does not object to this Motion.
3	<u>CONCLUSION</u>
4	For the reasons set forth above, Complainant respectfully requests that the Regional
5	Judicial Officer grant Complainant's motion for a 30-day extension of time to file an answer to
6	and including January 28, 2013.
7	Dated at San Francisco, California, on this 21st day of December, 2012.
8 9	EL PM
10 11	EDGAR P. CORAL Assistant Regional Counsel U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX
12	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region (A
13	
14	
15	
16	
L 7	
8	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** I certify that the original and one copy of the foregoing Motion for Extension of Time to File Answer (Docket No. FIFRA-9-2012-0006) was hand delivered to: Regional Hearing Clerk U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, California 94105 and that a true and correct copy of the Motion was placed in the United States Mail, addressed to the following: Alexis J. Curotto, Esq. Fry's Electronics, Inc. 600 E. Brokaw Road San Jose, CA 95112 Dated: 12/2/12 By: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX